

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/772,586	02/06/2004	Shunpei Yamazaki	740756-2707	2329	
22204	7590 10/27/2006		EXAMINER		
NIXON PEA	•		NGUYEN, THAN		
401 9TH STRI SUITE 900	EET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			2813		

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/772,586	YAMAZAKI, SHUNPEI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thanh T. Nguyen	2813		

		Thanh T. Nguyen		2813	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover shee	t with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 01 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			•	
	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a ving replies: (1) an ame tice of Appeal (with app	a Notice of ndment, aff eal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the d	late set forth m the mailing	in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejection	ichever is later. In on.
<b>-</b>	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) 06.07(f).	) WHEN THE	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
have unde set f may	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date be been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the storth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). TICE OF APPEAL	ension and the correspond shortened statutory period than three months after th	ding amount for reply original	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
	ENDMENTS				
3. L	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to	out prior to the date of fi	ling a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause
	<ul><li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor</li><li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li></ul>		on (see NO	i ⊨ below);	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		naterially re	ducing or simplifying t	the issues for
(	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of	of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. [	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice	of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				,
3. 🔲 r	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a			_
7. [∠	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 13-18</u> .	☑ will not be entered, or ided below or appende	or b) 🛛 wil d.	I be entered and an e	xplanation of
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7-12 and 19-24.				
	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
3. [	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of I sufficient reasons why	of filing a No the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. [	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections u	ınder appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. REC	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation QUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
	∑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	does NOT place the ap	oplication in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. 13.	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s	s)	Thanh T. Nguyen Examiner	
				Art Unit: 2813	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant contends that the final is improper. In response to applicant that the final is proper because it was just a typographical error. However, examiner did cited the figures that related to the paragraphs. therefore, the final rejection is proper since no introduction of the new reference.

Applicant contends that Ogawa does not teach forming a resist mask at least on the wiring using a second solution ejector, using the rest mask as a mask. In response to applicant that Ogawa clear teach forming a resist mask as a mask on the wiring (metal) (see paragraph# 182) using a solution ejector to form a resist mask (see paragraph# 58, 109, 234).

Fix.29+